1	Tracy R. Kirkham (69912) John D. Bogdanov (215830)		
2	John D. Bogdanov (215830) COOPER & KIRKHAM, P.C. 357 Tehama Street, Second Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone (415) 788 2020		
3			
4	Telephone: (415) 788-3030 Facsimile: (415) 882-7040		
5	Email: trk@coopkirk.com jdb@coopkirk.com		
6	Counsel for Class Representative Steven Ganz and Class Member Eleanor Lewis		
7	Class Member Eleanor Lewis		
8			
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	Master File No. 3:07-cv-5944-JST	
15	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1917	
16		DECLARATION OF TRACY R. KIRKHAM IN	
17	This Document Relates to:	SUPPORT OF MOTION OF COOPER & KIRKHAM, P.C., FOR APPOINTMENT AS	
18	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	LEAD COUNSEL FOR INDIRECT- PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS WITH CLAIMS	
19		IN NON-REPEALER STATES	
20		Hearing Date: June 6, 2019 Time: 2:00 p.m.	
21		Judge: Honorable Jon S. Tigar Courtroom: 9, 19 th Floor	
22		2, 17 11001	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DECLARATION OF TRACY R. KIRKHAM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF COOPER & KIRKHAM, P.C., FOR APPOINTMENT AS LEAD COUNSEL FOR INDIRECT-PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS WITH CLAIMS IN NON-REPEALER STATES - Case No. 3:07-cv-5944, MDL No. 1917

I, Tracy R. Kirkham, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California, and licensed to practice in the states of California, Pennsylvania and New Jersey. I am a Principal in Cooper & Kirkham, P.C. I make this Declaration in Support of the "Motion of Cooper & Kirkham, P.C., for Appointment as Lead Counsel for Indirect-Purchaser Plaintiffs with Claims in Non-Repealer States," filed herewith. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. Cooper & Kirkham ("C&K") is counsel of record for plaintiff Steven Ganz, a resident of and CRT Product purchaser in California, and as such, has participated almost from its inception, in the development of the merits of this litigation. C&K had particular responsibility for discovery and evidence review and synthesis concerning the Philips corporate defendants. Mr. Ganz is a class representative for the California Damage Class certified for litigation purposes, and for the Nationwide Settlement Class and California Damage Subclass provisionally certified in connection with the 2015 global settlements. C&K has the sixth (6th) largest lodestar of the fortynine (49) law firms who submitted time and expenses for a fee and reimbursement award in 2015.
- 3. C&K has been the principal advocate in this litigation for the theory that equitable monetary recovery is a remedy available to all indirect CRT product purchasers under the federal antitrust laws. In order to facilitate that advocacy, C&K recently entered an appearance for Eleanor Lewis, a resident of Ohio, a Non-Repealer State, who purchased CRT products there during the period alleged in the operative complaint. C&K's representation of Ms. Lewis was undertaken with Mr. Ganz's full knowledge and informed consent. Mr. Ganz has additional personal counsel with extensive antitrust experience, Gross Belsky Alonso LLP, who have appeared for him in the separate action filed against Mitsubishi by Lead Counsel, *Luscher v. Mitsubishi Electric Corporation*, Case No. 3:17-cv-04067.
- 4. A firm resume containing, among other things, a list of the complex antitrust and commercial cases in which C&K has played a major role is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. I have

28

been the architect of electronic discovery protocols and procedures in most of the cases in which the firm has been involved in the past twenty-five (25) years. I was also the principal drafter of the motion for rehearing en banc and the briefing before the Third Circuit sitting en banc in Sullivan v. DB Investments, Inc., 667 F.3d 273, 317 (3d Cir. 2011), cert. denied, sub nom, Murray v. Sullivan, 566 U.S. 923 (2012). C&K is currently serving as Co-Lead Counsel in *In re Dynamic Random* Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH (MDL No. 1486) (N.D. Cal.) and In re Parking Heaters Antitrust Litigation (Consol. Case No. 15-MC-0940 (DLI) (JO) (E.D.N.Y.). Both of these appointments run to the firm, not to a specific attorney within the firm. This has meant that there has been an uninterrupted performance of the firm's duties in those two cases despite the recent death of C&K's senior partner, Josef D. Cooper. However, even while Mr. Cooper was alive, I played a significant part in all aspects of the lead counsel role in both of those cases, as well as in the other cases listed in Exhibit 1. Attached as Exhibit 2 hereto, is a true and correct copy of a letter commenting on my work, written to me by the Honorable Charles B. Renfrew, who served as Special Master for all settlement evaluation, notice and fee issues in the DRAM litigation. Over a period of more than two years, I worked with Judge Renfrew and Emilio Varanini, Deputy Attorney General, Public Rights Division, State of California, in resolving issues and drafting the comprehensive report and recommendations presented to the Honorable Phyllis Hamilton, who is presiding over the DRAM litigation. In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH (MDL No. 1486), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 188116 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2013). I was also principally responsible for the drafting and arguing of the motions for preliminary and final approval of the global settlements in DRAM.

5. A motion for final approval of a global settlement and plan of distribution in *Parking Heaters* is currently pending before the District Court for the Eastern District of New York. While we are waiting for an order from the court, I am working with my Co-Lead Counsel, Law Offices of Francis O. Scarpulla, to formulate policy and procedures for auditing the claims received in that litigation.

- 6. Claims for equitable monetary relief were advanced and settled by C&K in both the *DRAM* and *Parking Heaters* litigation. The *DRAM* settlements are final, and the litigation concluded but for the disposition of the residual remaining from stale-dated checks after distribution of the proceeds to class members. Relying in part on the existence of the equitable claims for Non-Repealer State settlement class members, Judge Charles B. Renfrew, as Special Master, recommended and Judge Hamilton adopted a plan of distribution that paid all settlement class members *pro rata* without regard to whether their purchases occurred in Repealer or Non-Repealer States. *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. M-02-1486-PJH (MDL No. 1486), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 188116 at *190, *207 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2013).
- 7. We expect that the *Parking Heaters*' settlements and same type of *pro rata* plan of distribution will shortly be given final approval. Following a mediation by the Honorable Alfred P. Wolin (U.S. Dist. Judge, ret.), at which Non-Repealer State putative class members were represented by C&K, Co-Lead Counsel submitted a nationwide *pro rata* distribution plan to the *Parking Heaters*' Court. No objections were filed to this plan.
- 8. A true and correct copy of the state Attorneys General's *amici* brief in *Apple, Inc. v. Pepper*, No. 17-204, is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 9. At the time that the fee petition was filed in July of 2015, C&K had made contributions to the common expense fund and incurred other unreimbursed expenses totaling \$100,362.37 in connection with the prosecution of the litigation. The firm also incurred out-of-pocket expenses in connection with its advancing of the interests of Non-Repealer State class members during the settlement objection and appeal processes for which it has not sought reimbursement. C&K is prepared to and is financially able to advance all funds necessary to zealously advocate for Non-Repealer State class members in the future.

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 5449-1 Filed 04/18/19 Page 5 of 5

1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th		
2	day of April, 2019, in San Francisco, California.		
3	/-/ T D - W: 11		
4	<u>/s/ Tracy R. Kirkham</u> Tracy R. Kirkham		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	4		
	DECLARATION OF TRACY R. KIRKHAM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF COOPER & KIRKHAM, P.C., FOR		